Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/phb

Pubertal isolation alters latent inhibition and DA in nucleus accumbens of adult rats

Feng Shao^a, Jian Jin^a, Qingxuan Meng^a, Mei Liu^a, Xi Xie^b, Wenjuan Lin^b, Weiwen Wang^{b,*}

^a Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

^b Key Lab of Mental Health, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 January 2009 Received in revised form 18 May 2009 Accepted 22 May 2009

Keywords: Social isolation Puberty Schizophrenia Latent inhibition Open field DA Nucleus accumbens

ABSTRACT

Puberty is a critical period for neurodevelopment of schizophrenia. In the present study, we investigated the effects of peri-pubertal social isolation on psychotic behaviors in rats and its relationship to dopamine expression. Wistar male rats were randomly divided into pubertal isolation (ISO; isolate housing, 38–51 days of age) and social (SOC) groups. Latent inhibition (LI) and behavior in open field were tested during adolescence and adulthood. After the behavioral test, dopamine (DA) levels were measured in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), nucleus accumbens (NAC), caudate-putamen (CPU), and the hippocampus (HIP). Pubertal social isolation impaired LI and increased the DA level in the NAC of young adult rats, but not adolescent rats, and enhanced open field locomotor activity in both adolescent and young adult rats. These data suggest that development of an LI deficit can be induced by social isolation during puberty after a developmental delay, and that NAC DA maybe involved in this process, which may mirror some aspects of the ontogency of schizophrenic symptoms.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Abnormal brain development is believed to increase the risk of developing a psychosis in adulthood. Since Hatch et al. first reported the abnormal behavioral reactivity of isolated rats [1], a large body of evidence has suggested that rats reared individually post-weaning (isolation) exhibit profound behavioral, neurobiological, and neuroanatomical differences in adulthood when compared to their socially reared litter mates (socials) [2–6]. For example, isolated rearing induces sensorimotor gating deficits in rats similar to the information processing deficit observed in schizophrenia patients. Human selective attentional impairments can be modeled in the rat using the prepulse inhibition (PPI) or the latent inhibition (LI) paradigms. It has been demonstrated that rats reared in isolation from weaning display deficits in PPI compared to socially reared rats when tested in adulthood, while the disruptive effect induced by isolation can be reversed by a range of antipsychotic drugs including haloperidol and clozapine [7–10].

Ll is a process by which pre-exposure to a to-be-conditioned stimulus retards the subsequent learning of a conditioned association to that stimulus [11]. Ll can reflect the organisms' ability to ignore irrelevant stimuli, and occurs in a variety of classical and instrumental conditioning procedures including passive and active avoidance, and in conditioned emotional responses in many mammalian species [12]. Substantial evidence has demonstrated that the disrupted LI may provide an animal model of the widely described failure of schizo-phrenic patients to ignore irrelevant stimuli [13–15]. In such studies, LI deficits are chemically induced by drugs such as dopamine (DA) agonists or NMDA antagonists [16,17]; however, the effects of social isolation on LI are poorly understood.

Social isolation causes a variety of changes to brain neurochemistry. For instance, increased basal DA turnover in the nucleus accumbens (NAC) and decreased DA turnover in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) following isolation rearing were shown in rats [18]. Immunoreactivity of the presynaptic protein CDCre1-1, which is normally modulated by DA neurotransmission, was also reduced in the striatum and increased in the hippocampus of isolated rats [19]. Thus, overall these studies suggest an enhanced dopaminergic activity in the NAC and ventral striatum, and reduced DA function in the PFC, of isolation-reared rats.

A large number of studies have demonstrated that the NAC is a key region involved in regulation of LI [11,20,21], and that NAC DA is involved in normal LI, as well as in LI disruption and potentiation [22–25]. For instance, measurement of extracellular DA in the NAC using microdialysis showed changes in DA release that mirrored LI [15], while a single intra-NAC injection of amphetamine prior to conditioning disrupted LI if preceded by a single systemic injection of amphetamine prior to pre-exposure [26]. Further, intra-NAC injection of haloperidol [27] or destruction of dopaminergic terminals within the NAC [28] led to LI potentiation.

In previous studies, social isolation was usually conducted during the developmental period from weaning to adulthood, where the

^{*} Corresponding author. Key Lab of Mental Health Institute of Psychology Chinese Academy of Sciences 4A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, China 100101. Tel.: +86 010 6486 4516; fax: +86 010 6487 2070.

E-mail address: wangww@psych.ac.cn (W. Wang).

^{0031-9384/\$ -} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.05.021

animals were deprived of social contact during the juvenile, pubertal, and adult developmental stages. However, the specific period of isolation important for inducing behavioral changes is largely unknown. Puberty is a critical period of neurodevelopment, accompanied by maturational processes in the mPFC and limbic regions characterized by both progressive and regressive changes including myelination and synaptic pruning [29,30]. Furthermore, maturation of neurotransmitter systems such as the dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems occur during this period [30]. For example, the mesolimbic dopaminergic system, such as in the hippocampus, is not fully developed until as late as postnatal day (PND) 35-40 [30], while in male rats, dopaminergic D1/D2 receptors increase in density in the first weeks of life, peak at approximately PND 40, then decrease by 58-75% from puberty to adulthood [31]. As in the PFC, glutamate receptors in the hippocampus undergo substantial pruning during adolescence, with a loss of about 1/4 of NMDA receptors in hippocampal pyramidal regions between PND 28 and 60 in rats [30].

Recently, two studies have suggested that the behavior of rats is sensitive to pubertal treatment. Subchronic pubertal treatment with the NMDA receptor antagonist phencyclidine (PCP, 5 mg/kg) from PND42 to 48 resulted in social behavior deficits, a reduction in locomotion, and a modest disturbance of spatial learning in adult rats [32], while an i.p. injection of dizocilpine (0.3 mg/kg) induced higher locomotor and stereotypic activities in pubertal rats (PND 48–50 old) than in adult rats (3-month-old) [33], suggesting that puberty is a vulnerable period during development. Moreover, a recent study by Rasmussen et al. indicated that a single dose of PCP (10 mg/kg) on PND 45 produced differential effects on PPI in adolescent and adult rats, suggesting that PPI deficit induced by pubertal treatment is age-dependent [34]. Whether pubertal isolation would have differential effects on LI in adolescence and young adulthood is still unclear.

Based on the above data suggesting that puberty is a critical period for maturation of the dopaminergic limbic system, including the neural circuitry of LI, we hypothesized that social isolation during puberty would result in an LI deficit and increased DA levels in the NAC, and the effects induced by pubertal social isolation maybe differential in adolescence and young adulthood. We examined the effect of two weeks' social isolation during puberty on the LI of rats using a behavioral test, and explored its dopaminergic neural substrate by measuring DA levels in the mPFC, NAC, caudate-putamen (CPU), and hippocampus at PND 52 for adolescent rats and at PND 66 for young adult rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

A total of 78 male Wistar rats were obtained from the Academy of Chinese Military Medical Science on PND 21, and were housed in groups of four in one cage. Rats were kept under controlled environmental conditions (ambient temperature 22 °C, 12 h light/ 12 h dark cycle, light on at 7:00 a.m.) with free access to food and water. The use of animals was in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals Research, Peking University.

2.2. Experimental procedure

Rats were randomly divided into two groups at PND 38; the pubertal isolation group (ISO) in which rats were housed singly for two weeks during puberty (from PND 38–51), and the social group (SOC) in which rats were housed under normal grouped housing condition. Behaviors in open field and LI were examined immediately in adolescent rats at PND 52 (ISO, n = 20; SOC, n = 20), or after two weeks rehoused rearing in young adult rats at PND 66 (ISO, n = 16; SOC, n = 22). All rats were housed simultaneously in the same room,

and could hear and smell the other rats. All behavioral testings were performed during light cycle between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. The body weights of all rats in the ISO and SOC groups were measured at adolescence (PND 52) and in young adulthood (PND 66).

2.3. Open field

The testing apparatus was a circular arena of 180 cm in diameter, with a 50 cm high wall. The test room had a dim illumination (40 W) in order to decrease the averseness of the test. An animal was placed in the center of the field, and horizontal activity (distance traveled) was recorded for 5 min and analyzed by a computer-based system (EthoVison; Noldus Information Technology, Wageningen, Netherlands). The open field was cleaned each time after each testing [35].

2.4. Latent inhibition

1 h after open field test, LI was evaluated by conditioned avoidance. The acquisition of a conditioned reaction in a two-way shuttle box was tested with or without pre-exposure to the stimulus to be conditioned [36,37]. The computer-controlled automatic shuttle box was $64 \times 34 \times 24$ cm. The conditioned stimulus was a sound produced by a buzzer (75 dB) located on the central ceiling of the box. The unconditioned stimulus was an electric foot shock of 0.4–1.0 mA, depending on the individual sensitivity of the animals, and was delivered through stainless steel rods forming the floor. The jump threshold was defined as the foot shock sensitivity that elicited simultaneous removal of at least three paws (both hind paws) from the grid. In this way, foot shock sensitivity was defined for each rat. There was no group difference for foot shock sensitivity.

Rats in each group were randomly divided into non-preexposure (NPE) and preexposure (PE) groups. During the pre-exposure period the PE group animals were placed in the apparatus and the sound was delivered 90 times for 6 s (14 s interval) over 30 min. NPE group animals were placed in the same apparatus for 30 min without sound. The training session consisting of 50 trials was started immediately after pre-exposure. The rats were trained to move to the other side of the shuttle box after presentation of the conditioned stimulus in order to escape the unconditioned stimulus and to avoid the conditioned stimulus. The conditioned stimulus lasted for 3 s. If the rat moved to the other side of the box within this time then the noise was turned off and there was no foot shock. Otherwise a foot shock was administered. One trial was limited to 15 s if the animal failed to react within this period. The inter-trial intervals lasted for 10 s. The number of avoidance responses (response latency <3 s) were recorded for evaluation.

2.5. Measurement of dopamine levels

24 h after LI test, 10 rats from each group were randomly chosen for decapitation. Brains were removed rapidly and frozen in liquid nitrogen within 10 s. The brains were cut at -20 °C in a cryostat microtome at 50 µm thickness. The coronal sections were approximately 3.20-2.20 mm from bregma for mPFC, 2.70-0.70 mm from bregma for NAC, 1.70 to -0.40 mm from bregma for CPU (striatum), and -2.80 to -4.16 mm from bregma for hippocampus (HIP) (Paxinos and Watson, 1998). Bilateral tissue punches of the target regions were taken rapidly using a stainless steel cannula (Jiangxi Glance Medical Equipment Co., Ltd, China) with an inner diameter of 0.6 mm [38–41]. The relative size of the tissue samples can be seen in Fig. 1. The tissue samples were frozen immediately at -80 °C and stored until extraction. On the day of the assay, tissues were weighed and homogenized in 800 μ l of 0.1 M HClO₄ containing 5 mM Na₂S₂O₅, 0.04 mM Na₂EDTA, and 1 ng/10 μ l 3,4-dihydroxybenzylamine (as an internal standard) with an ultrasonic homogenizer (Sonic Co., Stratford, CT, USA) in ice-cold solution for 10 s. Next, the homogenate



Fig. 1. Diagrams showing the rostral-caudal extent, location, and relative size of the tissue samples taken from the mPFC (A), NAC (B), CPU (C), and HIP (D). The black circle and oblong show the rostral and caudal extension, respectively, of the dissected brain area. mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; NAC, nucleus accumbens; CPU, caudate-putamen; HIP, hippocampus.

was centrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min at 18,000 ×g. The DA concentrations in the supernatants were determined according to a modification of our previously described procedure [42]. Briefly, DA was detected using an LC-10A HPLC system, with LC-ECD-6A electrochemical detection (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) using a glassy carbon electrode set at +0.7 V vs. an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Separations were performed with a Shim-pack VP-ODS RP-C18 column (250×4.6 mm internal diameter, 4.6 µm particle diameter; Shimadzu Co.) protected with a Shim-pack GVP-ODS RP18 guard column (10×4.6 mm internal diameter; Shimadzu Co.). The column and detector were placed in the compartment of a Shimadzu CTO-10A column oven at 40 °C. The mobile phase (pH 4.0) consisted of 0.07 M NaH₂PO₄, 11% methanol, 0.06% sodium alkylsulfonate (SAS), 1% acetic acid, and 0.006% EDTA-Na₂, and was delivered at flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The injected sample volume was 10 µl. The levels of DA were determined by an internal standard method.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for all measures. The analyses were performed using SPSS 13 software

(SPSS Inc., China) running on Windows XP. Active avoidance responses were analyzed using a $2 \times 2 \times 5$ ANOVA consisting of two between-subjects main factors of housing condition and pre-exposure (NPE vs. PE), and a repeated-measurement factor of blocks (5 blocks of 10 trials). Body weight, distance in open field, and DA levels from different brain regions were analyzed by two-way ANOVA using housing condition and age as between-subject factors. Following significant analyses of variance, we used the independent *t*-test as the post hoc test. A probability level of *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of pubertal social isolation on body weight

The body weights of the rats can be seen in Fig. 2. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant main effects of housing condition [F(1, 74) = 18.16, P < 0.001] and age [F(1, 74) = 74.17, P < 0.001], and a significant interaction between housing condition and age [F(2, 74) = 6.32, P < 0.05]. Following pubertal isolation, adolescent rats exhibited a significantly lower body weight compared to pubertal grouped rats [t(38) = 2.54, P < 0.01], while

there was no difference for young adult rats in both the SOC and ISO groups. The body weights of young adult rats were increased compared to adolescent rats in both the SOC and ISO groups [t(40) = 11.99, P < 0.01; t(34) = 17.86, P < 0.01].

3.2. Effects of pubertal social isolation on locomotor activity in open field

The distance in open field for each group can be seen in Fig. 3. Twoway ANOVA revealed a significant effect of housing [F(1, 36) = 8.08, P < 0.01], but not of age. There was no significant interaction between housing condition and age. The rats in the ISO group showed an increased distance traveled compared to rats in the SOC group during both adolescence [t(18) = 2.35, P < 0.05] and adulthood [t(18) = 2.27, P < 0.05].

3.3. Effects of pubertal social isolation on latent inhibition

The LI for each group can be seen in Fig. 4. For LI of pubertal rats in the SOC and ISO groups, an analysis on the number of avoidance responses revealed a main effect of blocks [F(4, 144) = 43.29, P<0.01), reflecting an overall increase of avoidance responses as a function of progressive learning. In addition, there was a significant effect of pre-exposure [(F(1, 34) = 10.16, P<0.01)], as well as a pre-exposure × blocks interaction [F(4, 144) = 43.29, P<0.05)], reflecting the existence of LI (i.e., a significant reduction of avoidance responses in PE group animals compared with NPE group animals). Social isolation did not significantly modify the LI phenomenon (i.e., no housing condition×pre-exposure×blocks interaction) (Fig. 4A and B).

For the LI of young adult rats in the SOC and ISO groups, there was a significant effect of blocks, reflecting an overall increase of avoidance responses [F(4, 136) = 70.53, P < 0.01). Nevertheless, there was no significant effect of pre-exposure and pre-exposure × blocks interaction, reflecting an LI deficit. There was a significant effect of housing condition × pre-exposure × blocks interaction [F(4, 136) = 4.14, P < 0.05], reflecting an LI deficit in young adult isolates (Fig. 4C–D).

3.4. Effects of pubertal social isolation on DA level

DA levels in the NAC, mPFC, CPU, and HIP for each group can be seen in Fig. 5 and Table 1. For DA level in the NAC, two-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of housing condition [F(1, 36) = 4.76, P < 0.05] and age [F(1, 36) = 16.94, P < 0.01], and a significant interaction between housing condition and age [F(2, 36) = 6.57, P < 0.05]. Pubertal isolated rats showed an increased DA level in the



Fig. 2. Body weights of adolescent and young adult rats in the SOC and ISO groups. Pubertal isolation decreased the body weight gain of adolescent rats compared to pubertal grouped rats (two-way ANOVA, post-hoc independent *t*-test, ***P*<0.01). ## Significant difference between different age groups (two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.01). All data are presented as mean \pm SEM.



Fig. 3. Distance traveled in open field of adolescent and young adult rats in the SOC and ISO groups. Pubertal isolation increased the distance traveled of adolescent and young adult rats compared to pubertal grouped rats (two-way ANOVA, post-hoc independent *t*-test, **P*<0.05). All data are presented as mean \pm SEM, *n* = 10 per group.

NAC compared to controls during adulthood [t(18) = 2.58, P < 0.05), but there was no difference between the groups during adolescence (Fig. 5). DA levels in the NAC of young adult rats were significantly higher than in adolescent rats in both the SOC [t(18) = 2.117, P < 0.05] and ISO [t(18) = 4.010, P < 0.01) groups. There were no significant main effects of housing condition and age (two-way ANOVA) on DA levels in the mPFC, CPU, or HIP (Table 1).

4. Discussion

Our data showed that social isolation during puberty impaired LI of young adult rats, but had no effect on LI in adolescent rats; enhanced open field locomotor activity in both adolescent and young adult rats. In line with the behavioral result of LI, 2 weeks social isolation during puberty increased the DA level of NAC in young adult rats, but not in adolescent rats.

4.1. Latent inhibition

The results from the present study demonstrated that social isolation during puberty impaired LI of young adult rats. This is in contrast to two studies showing intact LI following 12 weeks and 8 weeks social isolation after weaning using either two-way active avoidance paradigm in Sprague–Dawley (SD) rats [43] or bar-pressing for sucrose solution in Lister hooded rats [44], respectively. The LI effect is related to a number of experimental parameters, including the different unconditioned and conditioned stimulus, the interval between the pre-exposure and test phase, strain, gender, and age difference of animals [21]. Thus, the contrasting results in the previous studies may relate to the different LI model, strain, age of rats, and duration of social isolation used when compared to the present study.

More importantly, in the present study we found that the LI impairment induced by isolate housing during puberty did not appear until adult age. The post-pubertal expression of LI deficiency has been also reported in other neurodevelopmental animal models of schizo-phrenia, including polyriboinosinic–polyribocytidylic acid (poly I:C) injection on PND 9 [45], maternal deprivation [46], and neonatal ventral hippocampal lesion [37]. Together, these data extend the neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia, suggesting that schizophrenic cognitive symptoms, such as LI deficient induced by manipulation during puberty or pre-existent developmental anomalies, can be expressed after developmental maturation in puberty.

4.2. NAC DA

The results from the present study demonstrated that pubertal grouped young adult rats have higher DA levels in the NAC than



Fig. 4. Avoidance responses of PE and NPE groups in the SOC and ISO rats during adolescence and adulthood. Latent inhibition assessed using tone-CS pre-exposure in the two way AA test. Five blocks of 10 trials were used. Avoidance responses (mean \pm SEM) tested in adolescence [(A) SOC, n = 20; (B) ISO, n = 20], or at two weeks after pubertal social isolation as young adults [(C) SOC, n = 22; (D) ISO, n = 16].

adolescent rats. These data are similar to a previous study showing reduced DA concentration and turnover rates in the NAC of juvenile compared to adult rats [47]. In addition to changes in DA concentration, adults may also have a lower density of D2 autoreceptors in the NAC [48,49]. Since stimulation of autoreceptors in the dopaminergic system nerve terminals inhibits DA synthesis and release, a lower density of D2 autoreceptors in the NAC may disinhibit DA synthesis and result in higher DA levels in adults [47].

We also demonstrated that pubertal social isolation increased DA levels in the NAC, but not in the mPFC, hippocampus, or striatum.



Fig. 5. DA levels in the NAC of adolescent and young adult rats in the SOC and ISO groups. Pubertal isolation increased DA content in the NAC of young adult rats compared to pubertal grouped rats (two-way ANOVA, post-hoc independent *t*-test, *P<0.05). ##Significant difference between different age groups (two-way ANOVA, P<0.01). Data expressed as ng/mg tissue (mean ± SEM, n = 10 per group).

Further, consistent with the behavioral result of LI, NAC DA level was not significantly elevated until young adulthood. Post-weaning isolated rearing has been previously shown to enhance dopaminergic activity in the NAC, suggesting a possible sensitization of the mesoaccumbens DA projection [50,51]. The major midbrain dopaminergic systems can be divided into three major categories linking the ventral tegmental area and substantia nigra neurons with the NAC, PFC, and striatum, termed the mesolimbic, mesocortical, and nigrostriatal dopaminergic systems, respectively. Studies investigating the neural substrates of LI have suggested that the mesolimbic dopamine system in particular, provides critical control in the development and expression of LI. Thus, our results suggest that puberty is a critical period for maturation of the dopaminergic limbic system, and show that pubertal sensory deprivation induced by isolation may result in abnormal development of mesolimbic dopamine circuit, which does not emerge until post-puberty, causing cognitive abnormalities such as schizophrenic symptoms.

Table 1

 DA levels in mPFC , CPU and HIP of adolescent and young adult rats in SOC and ISO groups.

	Adolescence		Р	Adulthood		Р
	ISO	SOC		ISO	SOC	
mPFC	$.070 \pm .020$	$.038 \pm .025$.458	$.129 \pm .043$	$.427 \pm .154$.055
CPU	$4.177 \pm .958$	$3.255 \pm .580$.403	$5.176 \pm .796$	$5.727 \pm .742$.627
HIP	$.115 \pm .051$	$.048\pm.012$.388	$.069 \pm .016$	$.072\pm.029$.926

All data are expressed as ng mg^{-1} , mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex; CPU: caudate-putamen; HIP: hippocampus.

4.3. Open field

Our data demonstrated that pubertal social isolation enhanced open field locomotor activity in both adolescent and young adult rats, suggesting that only two weeks social isolation during puberty was enough to induce long-lasting enhancement of open-field activity. These data are partly consistent with previous studies demonstrating that isolation-reared rats have an altered behavioral profile when exposed to a novel environment, and especially show spontaneous locomotor hyperactivity in an open field [2,50,52–56]. Several studies have also reported that the age of the subjects during isolation is a particularly important factor for development of behavioral deficits [57].

In the present study, pubertal social adolescent rats showed relatively greater locomotor activity in open field compared to young adult rats, although the difference was not significant. Similarly, normal and greater open field locomotion in adolescent rats compared to adults has been previously described [58–60], although in contrast, less activity in open field was reported in five-week-old CD-1 mice [61] and six-week-old C57BL/6 J mice [62] when compared to adults.

4.4. Body weight

Our data demonstrated that the body weight of pubertal rats decreased after two weeks' social isolation during puberty. However, following a further two weeks rehoused in social group rearing, there was no difference between isolated-rehoused early adult rats and controls, suggesting that the influence of isolated rearing during puberty on the body weight gain could be reversed by the social group rearing. Body weight gain occurs more rapidly during development [30], supporting the greater body weight gain of pubertal grouped young adults compared to adolescent rats.

4.5. General conclusion

In summary, the results from the present study demonstrated that social isolation during puberty can have delayed developmental effects on LI in young adult rats, lasting effects on open field activity in adolescent and young adult rats, and temporary effects on body weight gain in adolescent rats. Consistent with the effect of LI deficit, pubertal social isolation induced a delayed increase in DA levels in the NAC of young adult rats. These data suggest that the expression of LI deficit induced by isolate housing during puberty occurs after a developmental delay, which is similar to the presence of pathological processes suggested to account for the cognitive deficit in schizophrenia. However, the finding of altered LI only with social isolation during the peripubertal period requires further investigation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Jianxu Zhang for the helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural of Science Foundation of China (grant No. 30670708, 30500158), National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2007CB512306), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KSCX2-YW-R-131), and grants to young scientists from the Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Science (O9KF013001).

References

- Hatch A, Balazs T, Wiberg GS, Grice HC. Long-term isolation stress in rats. Science 1963;142:507.
- [2] Ferdman N, Murmu RP, Bock J, et al. Weaning age, social isolation, and gender, interact to determine adult explorative and social behavior, and dendritic and spine morphology in prefrontal cortex of rats. Behav Brain Res 2007;180:174–82.
- [3] Hall FS. Social deprivation of neonatal, pubertal, and adult rats has distinct neurochemical and behavioral consequences. Crit Rev Neurobiol 1998;12:129–62.
- [4] Lehmann J, Feldon J. Long-term biobehavioral effects of maternal separation in the rat: consistent or confusing? Rev Neurosci 2000;11:383–408.

- [5] Paulus MP, Bakshi VP, Geyer MA. Isolation rearing affects sequential organization of motor behavior in post-pubertal but not pre-pubertal Lister and Sprague– Dawley rats. Behav Brain Res 1998;94:271–80.
- [6] Weiss IC, Pryce CR, Jongen-Relo AL, et al. Effect of social isolation on stress-related behavioural and neuroendocrine state in the rat. Behav Bran Res 2004;152:279–95.
- [7] Barr AM, Powell SB, Markou A, et al. Iloperidone reduces sensorimotor gating deficits in pharmacological models, but not a developmental model, of disrupted prepulse inhibition in rats. Neuropharmacology 2006;51:457–65.
- [8] Day-Wilson KM, Jones DNC, Southam E, et al. Medial prefrontal cortex volume loss in rats with isolation rearing-induced deficits in prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle. Neurosci 2006;141:1113–21.
- [9] Tomazini FM, Reimer A, Albrechet-Souza L, et al. Opposite effects of short- and longduration isolation on ultrasonic vocalization, startle and prepulse inhibition in rats. J Neurosci Methods 2006;153:114–20.
- [10] Varty GB, Braff DL, Geyer MA. Is there a critical developmental "window" for isolation rearing-induced changes in prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response? Behav Brain Res 1999;100:177–83.
- [11] Young AMJ, Moran PM, Joseph MH. The role of dopamine in conditioning and latent inhibition: what, when, where and how? Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2005;29:963–97.
- [12] Lubow RE. Construct validity of the animal latent inhibition model of selective attention deficits in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 2005;31(1):139–53.
- [13] Lubow RE, Kaplan O. The visual search analogue of latent inhibition: implications for theories of irrelevant stimulus processing in normal and schizophrenic groups. Psychon Bull Rev 2005;12(2):224–43.
- [14] Schmajuk N. Brain-behaviour relationships in latent inhibition: a computational model. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2005;29(6):1001–20.
- [15] Weiner I. The "two-headed" latent inhibition model of schizophrenia: modeling positive and negative symptoms and their treatment. Psychopharmacology 2003;169(3-4):257-97.
- [16] Salgado JV, Hetem LA, Vidal M, et al. Reduction of latent inhibition by d-amphetamine in a conditioned suppression paradigm in humans. Behav Brain Res 2000;117:61–77.
- [17] Weiner I. Neural substrates of latent inhibition: the switching model. Psychol Bull 1990;108:443–61.
- [18] Heidbreder CA, Weiss IC, Domeney AM, et al. Behavioral, neurochemical and endocrinological characterization of the early social isolation syndrome. Neurosci 2000;100:749–68.
- [19] Barr AM, Young CE, Sawada K, et al. Abnormalities of presynaptic protein CDCre1-1 in striatum of rats reared in social isolation: relevance to neural connectivity in schizophrenia. Eur J Neurosci 2004;20:303–7.
- [20] Gal G, Schiller D, Weiner I. Latent inhibition is disrupted by nucleus accumbens shell lesion but is abnormally persistent following entire nucleus accumbens lesion: the neural site controlling the expression and disruption of the stimulus preexposure effect. Behav Brain Res 2005;162:246–55.
- [21] Moser PC, Hitchcock JM, Lister S, et al. The pharmacology of latent inhibition as an animal model of schizophrenia. Brain Res Rev 2000;33:275–307.
- [22] Pothuizen HHJ, Jongen-Relo AL, Feldon J, Yee BK. Latent inhibition of conditioned taste aversion is not disrupted, but can be enhanced, by selective nucleus accumbens shell lesions in rats. Neurosci 2006;137:1119–30.
- [23] Schiller D, Weiner I. Lesions to the basolateral amygdala and the orbitofrontal cortex but not to the medial prefrontal cortex produce an abnormally persistent latent inhibition in rats. Neurosci 2004;128:15–25.
- [24] Lawrence NS, Sharp T, Peters SP, Gray JA, Young AMJ. GABA transmission in the ventral pallidum is not involved in the control of latent inhibition in the rat. Neurosci 2003;122:267–75.
- [25] Weiner I, Feldon J. The switching model of latent inhibition: an update of neural substrates. Behav Brain Res 1997;88:11–25.
- [26] Gray JA, Moran PM, Grigoryan G, et al. Latent inhibition: the nucleus accumbens connection revisited. Behav Brain Res 1997;88:27–34.
- [27] Joseph MH, Peters SL, Moran PM, et al. Modulation of latent inhibition in the rat by altered dopamine transmission in the nucleus accumbens at the time of conditioning. Neurosci 2000;101:921–30.
- [28] Gray JA, Joseph MH, Hemsley DR, et al. The role of mesolimbic dopaminergic and retrohippocampal afferents to the nucleus accumbens in latent inhibition: implications for schizophrenia. Behav Brain Res 1995;71:19–31.
- [29] De Bellis MD, Keshavan MS, Beers SR, et al. Sex differences in brain maturation during childhood and adolescence. Cereb Cortex 2001;11:552–7.
- [30] Spear LP. The pubertal brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2000;24:417–63.
- [31] Schneider M, Koch M. Chronic pubertal, but not adult chronic cannabinoid treatment impairs sensorimotor gating, recognition memory, and the performance in a progressive ratio task in adult rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 2003;28:1760–9.
- [32] Schwabe K, Klein S, Koch M. Behavioural effects of neonatal lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex and subchronic pubertal treatment with phencyclidine of adult rats. Behav Brain Res 2006;168:150–60.
- [33] Vasilev V, Veskov R, Janac B, et al. Age-related differences in MK-801- and amphetamine-induced locomotor and stereotypic activities of rats. Neurobiol Aging 2003;24:715–23.
- [34] Rasmussen BA, O'Neil J, Manaye KF, et al. Long-term effects of developmental PCP administration on sensorimotor gating in male and female rats. Psychopharmacology 2007;190:43–9.
- [35] Qi XL, Lin WJ, Li JF, Pan YQ, Wang WW. The depressive-like behaviors are correlated with decreased phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinases in rat brain following chronic forced swim stress. Behav Brain Res 2006;175:233–40.
- [36] Becker A, Peters B, Schroeder H, et al. Ketamine-induced changes in rat behavior: a possible animal model of schizophrenia. Prog Neuro-Psychopharcacol Biol Psychiatry 2003;27:687–700.

- [37] Grecksch G, Bernstein HG, Becker A, et al. Disruption of latent inhibition in rats with postnatal hippocampal lesions. Neuropsychopharmacology 1999;20:525–32.
- [38] Malkesman O, Shayit M, Genud R, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone in the nucleus accumbens is associated with early onset of depressive-like-behavior: a study in an animal model of childhood depression. Neurosci 2007;149:573–81.
- [39] Zangen A, Overstreet DH, Yadid G. High serotonin and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid levels in limbic brain regions in a rat model of depression: normalization by chronic antidepressant treatment. J Neurochem 1997;69:2477–83.
- [40] Lu L, Hope BT, Dempsey J, et al. Central amygdale ERK signaling pathway is critical to incubation of cocaine craving. Nat Neurosci 2005;8:12–219.
- [41] Grimm JW, Lu L, Hayashi T, et al. Time-dependent increases in brain-derived neurotrophic factor protein levels within the mesolimbic dopamine system after withdrawal from cocaine: implications for incubation of cocaine craving. J Neurosci 2003;23:742–7.
- [42] Shao F, Lin WJ, Wang WW. The effect of emotional stress on the primary humoral immunity of rats. J Psychopharmacol 2003;17(2):153–7.
- [43] Weiss IC, Domeney AM, Moreau JL, et al. Dissociation between the effects of preweaning and/or post-weaning social isolation on prepulse inhibition and latent inhibition in adult Sprague–Dawley rats. Behav Brain Res 2001;121:207–18.
- [44] Wilkinson LS, Killcross SS, Humby T, et al. Social isolation in the rat produces developmentally specific deficits in prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response without disruption latent inhibition. Neuropsychopharm 1994;10(1):61–72.
- [45] Meyer U, Feldon J, Schedlowski M, Yee BK. Towards an immune-precipitated neurodevelopmental animal model of schizophrenia. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2005;29:913–47.
- [46] Ellenbroeka BA, Riva MA. Early maternal deprivation as an animal model for schizophrenia. Clin Neurosci Res 2003;3:297–302.
- [47] Olazabal DE, Abercrombie E, Rosenblatt JS, et al. The content of dopamine, serotonin, and their metabolites in the neural circuit that mediate maternal behavior in juvenile and adult rat. Brain Res Bull 2004;63:259–68.
- [48] Tarazi FI, Baldessarini RJ. Comparative postnatal development of dopamine D(1), D(2) and D(4) receptors in rat forebrain. Int J Dev Neurosci 2000;18(1):29–37.
- [49] Tarazi FI, Tomasini EC, Baldessarini RJ. Postnatal development of dopamine and serotonin transporters in rat caudate-putamen and nucleus accumbens septi. Neurosci Lett 1998;254(1):21–4.

- [50] Fone KCF, Porkess MV. Behavioural and neurochemical effects of post-weaning social isolation in rodents-relevance to developmental neuropsychiatric disorders. Neurosci Behav Rev 2008;32:1087-102.
- [51] Woods BT. Is schizophrenia a progressive neurodevelopmental disorder? Towards a unitary pathogenetic mechanism. Am J Psychiatry 1998;155(12):1661–70.
- [52] Elliott BM, Grunbery NE. Effects of social and physical enrichment on open field activity differ in male and female Sprague–Dawley rats. Behav Brain Res 2005;165:187–96.
- [53] Lapiz MDS, Fulford A, Muchimapura S, et al. Influence of postweaning social isolation in the rat on brain development, conditioned behavior, and neurotransmission. Neurosci Behav Physiol 2003;33:13–29.
- [54] Levine JB, Youngs RM, Macdonald ML, et al. Isolation rearing and hyperlocomotion are associated with reduced immediate early gene expression levels in the medial prefrontal cortex. Neurosci 2007;145:42–55.
- [55] Saenz JCB, Villagra OR, Trias JF. Factor analysis of forced swimming test, sucrose preference test and open field test on enriched, social and isolated reared rats. Behav Brain Res 2006;169:57–65.
- [56] Thorsell A, Slawecki CJ, Khoury AE, et al. The effects of social isolation on neuropeptide Y levels, exploratory and anxiety-related behaviors in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2006;83:28–34.
- [57] Arakawa H. Interaction between isolation rearing and social development on exploratory behavior in male rats. Behav Process 2005;70:223–34.
- [58] Elliott BM, Faraday MM, Phillips JM, et al. Effects of nicotine on elevated plus maze and locomotor activity in male and female adolescent and adult rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2004;77:21–8.
- [59] Faraday MM, Elliott BM, Phillips JM, et al. Adolescent and adult male rats differ in sensitivity to nicotine's activity effects. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2003;74:917–31.
- [60] Stansfield KH, Kirstein CL. Effects of novelty on behavior in the adolescent and adult rats. Dev Psychobiol 2006;48:10–5.
- [61] Adriani W, Laviola GA. Unique hormonal and behavioral hyporesponsivity to both forced novelty and *d*-amphetamine in periadolescent mice. Neuropharmacology 2000;39:334–46.
- [62] Hefner K, Holmes A. Ontogeny of fear-, anxiety and depression-related behavior across adolescence in C57BL/6 J mice. Behav Brain Res 2007;176:210–21.